	Case 2:25-cv-01134-WBS-CSK Do	ocument 21	Filed 10/21/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	LEVI DALE LASTER, JR.,	No	. 2:25-cv-1134 CS	K P	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.		DER AND FINDI		
14	RONNIE MIMMICK, et al.,	RE	<u>COMMENDATIO</u>	<u>NS</u>	
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	By order filed September 3, 2025, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed, and thirty days				
18	leave to file an amended complaint was granted. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and				
19	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order.				
20	In recommending this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute, the Court has				
21	considered "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to				
22	manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring				
23	disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives." Ferdik v.				
24	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (citation omitted). Because this case cannot				
25	move forward without plaintiff's participation, the Court finds the factors weigh in favor of				
26	dismissal.				
27	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is				
28	directed to assign a district judge to this case; and				
		1			

## IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: October 21, 2025 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE /1/last1134.fta

Document 21

Filed 10/21/25

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:25-cv-01134-WBS-CSK